

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Roscoe
<b>Given Name</b>	Julie
<b>Person ID</b>	1286812
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Roscoe
<b>Given Name</b>	Julie
<b>Person ID</b>	1286812
<b>Title</b>	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The area concerned here is currently agricultural land with a large degree of wildlife , and it is one of few areas of green space remaining within Boothstown, it is also adjacent to the new RHS Bridgewater which if this was developed would be totally hypocritical to their and some of the governments policies on nature and wildlife and global warming manifesto promises and those providing a carbon neutral plan.</p> <p>There has already been a huge extent of house building adjacent to this area within Wigan Borough and Salford Borough along the Bridgewater canal it is clear that further development would most definitely not be in the interests of local residents.</p> <p>Further, there has been no improvement to infrastructure, ( other than the tow path to the canal for access to the RHS site), services, education provision or NHS facilities within the local areas , the most damaging impacts being the huge increase in traffic and in this location which would be onto a already historically gridlocked Leigh road, the massive congestion has already resulted in an increase in pollution.</p> <p>These points need to be considered fully in making the right decision on JPA27 which must be to leave it in its current state, which is the belief of ALL local people.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters</b>	Leave as it is now

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roscoe
<b>Given Name</b>	Julie
<b>Person ID</b>	1286812
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>There has already been a massive extent of house building (and mostly not affordable) that has already taken place adjacent to this location (which involves two parcels of green belt land ) it would be clear that further development would most definitely not be in the interests of ALL local residents.</p> <p>This site is adjacent to both Wigan and Salford residents being on the periphery of boundaries. The area concerned North of the guided bus way is agricultural land with a large degree of wildlife , it is also low lying and has a high water table the constant flooding of Mort Lane is evidence of this. There are also a number of footpaths adjacent and crossing this land and it is now the only remaining natural area of undisturbed greenbelt in this area and within several miles.</p> <p>The residents and wildlife need this area.</p> <p>This also applies to the seperate parcel of land South of the busway, which again incorporates a footpath and undisturbed green space again the only remaining historical site.</p> <p>If this were not enough, the amount of house building on greenbelt adjacent has ripped out the heart of the area and effectively joined Mossley Common and Tyldesley.</p> <p>There has been no infrastructure, services, education provision or Doctors increase within the local areas , the worse impact being the huge increase in traffic and massive congestion with associated air pollution this has brought to the local road system.</p> <p>Please consider fully these points in making the right decision on JPA35 which must be to leave it in its current state.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters</b>	Leave as it is unspoilt

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roscoe
<b>Given Name</b>	Julie
<b>Person ID</b>	1286812
<b>Title</b>	JPA 36: Pocket Nook
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The same comments above apply to this location, greenbelt land, agricultural, loss of habitat, wildlife and environment damage, increase traffic, flooding risk, air pollution, loss of enjoyment of the public of green open space.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Leave it alone undeveloped
<b>Family Name</b>	Roscoe
<b>Given Name</b>	Julie
<b>Person ID</b>	1286812
<b>Title</b>	JPA 37: West of Gibfield
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>The same comments above apply to this location, greenbelt land, agricultural, loss of habitat, wildlife and environment damage, increase traffic, flooding risk, air pollution, loss of enjoyment of the public of green open space.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Leave undeveloped</p>